

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
. 10/705,947	11/13/2003	Bak-Gu Lee	· Q77842	6726
23373 7590 07/20/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N	1.W.	LANIER, BENJAMIN E	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2132	
			<u></u>	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		mN				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/705,947	LEE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Benjamin E. Lanier	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 June 2007</u> .						
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-6 and 8-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-6 and 8-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 November 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>	priority under 25 U.S.C. \$ 110(a)	(d) 0.5 (5)				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	, ,					
application from the International Bureau	·	a w was riducinal stags				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • •	d.				
	,					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other:
______.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,947 Page 2

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed 13 June 2007 amends claims 1, 3, 6, 8, and 11. Claims 2 and 7 have been cancelled. Applicant's amendment has been fully considered and entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's argues, "Kempf does not disclose or suggest at least, 'wherein if the packet received from the first interface is a binding update packet encoded using the authentication key generated by the mobile node according to the authentication key generation token, the packet monitoring unit outputs the binding update packet to the controller, and the controller extracts binding information, including a home address of the mobile node and a foreign address of the mobile node provided in a foreign link area, from the binding update packet using the authentication key stored in the data storage area, and stores the extracted binding information in the data storage area,' as recited in amended claim 1." This argument is not persuasive because Kempf discloses that the mobile node uses the address based keys to establish a security association with the home agent, and when the mobile nodes transmits a binding update message, which signals a new care of address, to the home agent, the message is secured with the address based keys ([0018]-[0021] & [0053] & [0112]), which meets the limitation of wherein if the packet received from the first interface is a binding update packet encoded using the authentication key generated by the mobile node according to the authentication key generation token, the packet monitoring unit outputs the binding update packet to the controller, and the controller extracts binding information, including a home address of the mobile node and a foreign address of the mobile node provided in a foreign link area, from the binding update

Application/Control Number: 10/705,947 Page 3

Art Unit: 2132

packet using the authentication key stored in the data storage unit, and stores the extracted binding information in the data storage unit.

3. Applicant's argument that the amendments to claim 11 have overcome the §101 rejection of claim 11 has been fully considered and is persuasive. The §101 rejection of claim 11 has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-5, 6, 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Claim 1 recites, "a packet monitoring unit, which outputs an authentication request packet requiring authentication of the mobile node if the packet transmitted from the first interface is the authentication request packet," and "if the packet received from the first interface is a binding update packet encoded using the authentication key generated by the mobile node according to the authentication key generation token the packet monitoring unit outputs the binding update packet to the controller," which renders the claim indefinite because the claim limitations do not define what the packet monitoring unit does if the packet transmitted from the first interface is not an authentication request packet or a binding update packet.
- 7. Claim 3 recites, "the controller controls the packet converter, so that the packet converter converts the source address of the packet into the home address of the mobile node and outputs the converted address, if the binding information exists in the data storage unit," which renders

the claim indefinite because the claim limitations do not define what the packet converter does if no binding information exists in the data storage unit. The limitations of claim 4 remedy the above mentioned indefiniteness.

- 8. Claim 5 recites, "the controller controls the packet converter, so that the packet converter converts the destination address of the packet into a foreign address of the mobile node, if the destination address is the home address of the mobile node and the home address is bound with the foreign address of the mobile node," which renders the claim indefinite because the claim limitations do not define what the packet converter does if the home address of the mobile node is not bound with the foreign address of the mobile node.
- 9. Claim 6 recites, "if the packet transmitted from the mobile node is the authentication request packet requiring authentication of the mobile node," which renders the claims indefinite because the claim limitations do not define what the packet monitoring unit does if the packet transmitted from the first interface is not an authentication request packet.
- 10. Claim 8 recites, "if the same binding information as the binding information included in the packet transmitted by the mobile node exists in the stored binding information," which renders the claim indefinite because the claim limitations do not define what the packet converter does if no binding information exists in the data storage unit. The limitations of claim 9 remedy the above mentioned indefiniteness.
- 11. Claim 11 recites, "if the packet transmitted from the mobile node is the authentication request packet requiring authentication of the mobile node," which renders the claims indefinite because the claim limitations do not define what the packet monitoring unit does if the packet transmitted from the first interface is not an authentication request packet.

12. Claims not specified are rejected because of their dependence on the above mentioned claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

13. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 14. Claims 1, 6, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kempf, U.S. Publication No. 2003/0211842. Referring to claims 1, 6, 11, Kempf discloses securing binding updates using address based keys wherein routers functioning as home agents for a mobile node, interface with mobile nodes and correspondent nodes to a core network ([0017]). which meets the limitation of a router for transmitting a packet between a mobile node and correspondent nodes. The binding updates are sent when the mobile node detects that it has moved outside its home network ([0018] & [0023]), which meets the limitation of a foreign link area. The home agent can assign the home address (HoA) and send the home address (HoA) to the mobile node ([0023]), which meets the limitation of a data storage unit, which stores data for generating an authentication key generation token. The home agents transmits messages using the destination address contained in the message header ([0112] & Figure 1), which meets the limitation of a first interface, which receives and transmits a packet to a destination address stored in a header of the packet. The mobile node sends a request to the home agent for parameters to establish a security association with the home agent (Figure 2, 200 & [0054]-[0055] & [0067] & [0107]-

[0108]), which meets the limitation of a packet monitoring unit, which outputs an authentication request packet requiring authentication of the mobile node if the packet transmitted from the first interface is the authentication request packet. The home agent can assign the home address (HoA) and send the home address (HoA) to the mobile node ([0023]), which meets the limitation of a controller, which receives a packet from the packet monitoring unit, generates an authentication key generation token with reference to the data for generating an authentication key generation token stored in the data storage unit, the first interface receives and transmits the authentication key generation token to the mobile node. The home address (HoA) is used by the home agent and the mobile node to generate the address based keys of the mobile node ([0025] & [0029]) & [0067]), which meets the limitation of generates an authentication key using the authentication key generation token, stores the authentication key generation token and the authentication key in the data storage unit, and outputs the authentication key generation token to the first interface. The mobile node uses the address based keys to establish a security association with the home agent, and when the mobile nodes transmits a binding update message, which signals a new care of address, to the home agent, the message is secured with the address based keys ([0018]-[0021] & [0053] & [0112]), which meets the limitation of wherein if the packet received from the first interface is a binding update packet encoded using the authentication key generated by the mobile node according to the authentication key generation token, the packet monitoring unit outputs the binding update packet to the controller, and the controller extracts binding information, including a home address of the mobile node and a foreign address of the mobile node provided in a foreign link area, from the binding update packet using the

authentication key stored in the data storage unit, and stores the extracted binding information in the data storage unit.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 16. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 17. Claims 3-5, 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kempf, U.S. Publication No. 2003/0211842, in view of Chen, U.S. Patent No. 6,829,483. Referring to claims 3, 8, Kempf discloses securing binding updates using address based keys wherein routers functioning as home agents for a mobile node, interface with mobile nodes and correspondent nodes to a core network ([0017]). The binding updates are sent when the mobile node detects that it has moved outside its home network ([0018] & [0023]). Kempf does not disclose that the home agent removes the care-of address in the packet header of a message transmitted from a mobile node and replaces the address with the home address. Chen discloses that the home agent receives a message from a mobile node in a foreign network that includes the care-of address for

the mobile node in source field of the message header (Col. 4, lines 24-32). Once received the home agent replaces the care-of address with the home address for the mobile node in the source field of the message header (Col. 4, lines 33-38), which meets the limitation of a packet converter, which receives a packet output from the packet monitoring unit, and converts a source address of the packet from the foreign address of the mobile node to the home address of the mobile node and outputs the converted address, according to a control given by the controller, the packet monitoring unit searches for the header of the packet received from the first interface. extracts and outputs binding information included in the packet header to the controller, and outputs the packet to the packet converter, the controller controls the packet converter, so that the packet converter converts the source address of the packet into the home address of the mobile node and outputs the converted address, if the binding information exists in the data storage unit. The home agent then transmits the re-addressed message to the correspondent node associated with the address in the destination field of the message header (Col. 4, lines 35-43), which meets the limitation of a second interface, which receives the packet output from the packet converter, and transmits the packet to a correspondent node, according to an address of the correspondent node stored in the header of the packet. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the home agent of Kempf to convert the care-of address in header of messages received from a mobile node in a foreign network, to the home address of the mobile node, in order to prevent a firewall or egress filtering procedure from rejecting the message because the source address is different from the home address as taught by Chen (Col. 2, lines 1-4).

Referring to claims 4, 9, because Kempf does not specify that the home agent changes the source address in the message header of messages received from mobile nodes, it meets the limitation of the controller controls the packet converter, so that the packet converter passes the packet without converting the source address included in the packet, if the binding information does not exist in the data storage area since the home agent of Kempf never converts the source address.

Referring to claims 5, 10, Kempf discloses securing binding updates using address based keys wherein routers functioning as home agents for a mobile node, interface with mobile nodes and correspondent nodes to a core network ([0017]). The binding updates are sent when the mobile node detects that it has moved outside its home network ([0018] & [0023]). Kempf does not disclose that the home agent removes the home address in the destination address field of messages destined for the mobile node, and replaces the home address with the care-of address. Chen discloses that the home agent receives all messages destined for the mobile node and replaces the home address of the mobile node, in the destination field of the message header, with the care-of address of the mobile node (Col. 3, lines 25-32 & Col. 4, lines 13-21), which meets the limitation of the packet monitoring unit outputs the destination address stored in the header of the packet received through the second interface, to the controller, and outputs a packet received from the packet converter, the controller controls the packet converter, so that the packet converter converts the destination address of the packet into a foreign address of the mobile node, if the destination address is the home address of the mobile node and the home address is bound with the foreign address of the mobile node, the packet converter converts the destination address stored in the header of the packet transmitted by the correspondent node into

Application/Control Number: 10/705,947 Page 10

Art Unit: 2132

the foreign address of the mobile node, according to a control given by the controller. The readdressed message is then output by the home agent (Col. 3, lines 31-32), which meets the limitation of outputs the converted packet to the first interface.

Conclusion

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin E. Lanier whose telephone number is 571-272-3805. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30am-5:00pm, F 7:30am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Benjamin-E. Lanier